UNITED STATES of AMERICA

DEPARTMENT of THE INTERIOR

MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE

Public Comments from the
Public Comment Meeting for the
Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement
for Alternative Energy Development and
Alternative Use of existing Facilities on the
Outer Continental Shelf

Tuesday, May 1, 2007

The Presidio of San Francisco Golden Gate Club, Prince Room 135 Fisher Loop San Francisco, California 94129

From the MMS: Joan R. Barminski, Deputy

Regional Manager

Maurice I. Hill, Alternate

Energy/Alternate Use

Lynette L. Vesco, Chief, Office of Environmental Evaluation

From Argonne
National
Laboratory:

Bob Moore, Program Coordinator/

Manager

Environmental Systems Management

Environmental Assessment

Division

200 Union Boulevard, Suite 530

Lakewood, Colorado 80228

## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (7:35 p.m.)

MR. MOORE: Thank you, Maurice.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm glad that John turned the lights on.

I was going to ask if people would like to have the lights on, and it was pretty obvious that he beat me to it. I think that makes the room a little more comfortable. And for those of you taking notes, you'll be able to see what you're writing.

What we're really here for is to receive comments the adequacy of the Draft your on Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement. And there are several ways that you can provide those comments: Obviously at this hearing is one of those ways, either verbally or in writing. When you registered you received a comment form.

And you can write your comments on that form while you're sitting here listening to things and thinking about it, or you can take the comment form home with you and fill it out and send it in later. You can use the address on the comment form to send in much more than just what that from itself will hold. If you have materials that you believe will be useful in improving the quality of the document, why, be sure to send those as well. We'd like to have whatever we

can get.

You've heard about our website: ocs.energy.anl.gov. If you have not visited that website, please do so. And it is another way that you can submit comments. Fill out a comment form on the website. And, as I mentioned, you can do it in writing either here or later on.

This repeats what I just said. [Referring to the information on the screen.] You fill out the comment form, submit written comments. If you fill out a form tonight you can give it to any one of us wearing a name tag, and we'll make sure that they get factored into the right part of the process. Or you can mail them after you get home or go to the website.

As far as the hearing itself today is concerned, for oral comments we had asked when you registered if you wanted to speak tonight, if you indicated you did, I have a registration card. And I'll use that to call people one at a time up to speak.

If you decide you want to speak and have not filled out a registration form indicating that if you wanted to speak, you can do so, or you can just let me know later. Really not that formal. And what we're most interested in is receiving your comments.

If you want to speak, we want to give you that opportunity.

When you do come up to speak, please use the podium over here, and state your name and affiliation. We have a default three-minute time. So far we have four speakers signed up. It's early in the evening, so I'm not going to be too harsh on the three-minute time.

And do, please, limit your comments to the -- if you can -- to the scope of the programmatic EIS.

Many of you are aware that we're doing several, that MMS is currently looking at other project-specific activities, and we're not here to receive comments on individual projects but, rather, on the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement that Maurice discussed.

And if you have prepared remarks, please leave those with us if you can. Copies of them. We'd appreciate it. Those and anything else that you'd like to submit for the record. We're interested in all the comments we can get to improve the quality of the document.

I'm going to call the speakers in the order that they registered, and the first speaker is Richard Charter. Please state your name and your organization when you come up.

MR. CHARTER: Thank you. My name is Richard Charter and I'm speaking today on behalf of Defenders of Wildlife, whose nearly half million members and supporters nationwide and more than 100,000 California members and supporters are deeply involved in marine conservation efforts, including the protection of sensitive wildlife and fragile ocean habitats.

While we are supportive of truly renewable energy strategies with careful site selection and appropriate mitigations to protect the environment, some of America's most sensitive coastal waters will inevitably be affected by the construction of major alternative energy facilities and by commercial installations aquaculture on the federal Outer Continental Shelf that may be converted from current oil and gas platforms. I'm going to focus my remarks this evening orally on the Alternate Uses Provision, but I have covered the Alternate Energy part in my written comments.

Statements in the MMS Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement can only lead one to conclude that the agency is anticipating the unauthorized issuance of a proposed rulemaking that would establish the first national program for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

permitting and regulation of fish farming, or aquaculture, in federal waters and a rule that would allow oil companies to abandon old, disused platforms at sea instead of requiring the operators to remove them as currently mandated by federal law and by the contractual obligations incurred voluntarily by the lessees at the time such leases were issued.

These are proposals that lie outside the authority delegated to MMS to regulate only authorized activities, a legislative restriction clearly placed on MMS pursuant to Section 388 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005.

fiscal incentives oil Any such companies would inappropriately promote new offshore oil and gas leases in remote and fragile frontier OCS regions by diminishing the lifecycle hydrocarbon operations through MMS' arbitrary forgiveness of legitimate rig decommissioning costs and thus unduly put at risk sensitive fisheries, wildlife, local economies, indigenous communities particularly in Alaskan waters.

Here in California the public clearly remembers the solemn commitments made by the Interior Department and the lessees when the present offshore rigs were put in place, accompanied by assurances that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 the companies would remove the rigs and restore the seafloor to as near prelease condition as possible 2 3 once production was terminated. 4 Putting aquaculture operations on top of 5 seafloor mounds of spent-drilling muds containing mercury, cadmium, lead, and a host of toxic and 6 7 mutagenic-hydrocarbon pollutants would be counterintuitive at best. 8 Thank you for this opportunity to present 9 testimony on behalf of Defenders of Wildlife. 10 11 MR. HILL: Thank you. 12 Thank you, Mr. Charter. MR. MOORE: 13 The next speaker is Tom [sic] Eichenberg. Hello. 14 MR. EICHENBERG: My name is Tim 15 Eichenberg and I'm with the Ocean Conservancy here in 16 San Francisco. We have -- our headquarters are in 17 Washington, D.C., but we have a regional office out 18 here in San Francisco. I'm here to echo the comments of 19 20 colleague, Richard Charter. I'm not here to focus on 21 the Alternative Energy provisions. I'm here to talk 22 about the offshore aquaculture as part of Section 388, 23 Provisions for Energy Related or Other Authorized 24 Marine Related Purposes. 25 And we're concerned that this section would allow oil companies to avoid billions of dollars in legal obligations to remove the existing Outer Continental Shelf structures by operating fish farms on those structures.

You asked for issues of concern, mitigation and monitoring measures, and new data in your Request for Information here, and I'd like to focus on those.

The issue of concern to us is marine finfish aquaculture. Although you do say you're not seeking authority over activities such new as aquaculture, only the authority to allow the platforms to be converted to fish farms, the federal government is proposing a fivefold increase in aquaculture production over the next 15 to 20 years. And the EIS lack of clear admits that there is а regulatory authority over aquaculture right now, so you would be the default agency making those rules and regulations.

Numerous studies that you do not cite in your EIS and three recent national ocean commissions have recognized that federal standards are inadequate to address many of the significant impacts from offshore aquaculture. I would urge you to put those into your -- into your EIS, and I will submit written

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

comments which would list those resources and sources for you.

California enacted the most comprehensive fish-farming standards in the nation last year: SB 201. And we do not want to see these efforts frustrated and undermined by fish farms 3.1 miles off our shore that would undermine the regulations that we've adopted here in California.

Programmatic Environmental Statement fails to adequately address and mitigate many of the potentially significant adverse impacts from fish farms, from the escape of wild species and the competition with wild -- escape of farm species, excuse me, in competition with wildstocks; discharge of pollutants, and the use of drugs and chemicals; the ecosystem impacts of using fishmeal and fish oils; the fisheries socioeconomic impacts on and fishing communities from fish farms; the spread of disease and parasites from farms; the use of nonnative genetically-modified species; lack of the management practices to ensure that there's regular reporting, site inspections, baseline monitoring, assessments, stocking densities, root-stock controls, and volume of production on each of these facilities; and the lack of responsibility for damages, emergency

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 response, and abandonment of these facilities once 2 they're done. 3 In conclusion, we do not believe that the 4 2005 Energy Act should authorize the Minerals 5 Management Service to overturn the current federal requirements for oil companies to remove 6 7 platforms after they cease energy operations. And we 8 urge the Minerals Management Service to 9 comprehensively address all the impacts that 10 mentioned and others of ocean fish farming in the 11 Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement. 12 Thank you. 13 MR. HILL: Thank you, Tim. 14 MR. MOORE: Thank you, Tim. Sorry I got 15 your name wrong the first time. Our next speaker is Aaron Rachlin. 16 17 MR. RACHLIN: Rachlin. 18 MR. MOORE: Rachlin. Thank you. 19 RACHLIN: Good evening. Hi. Му name's Aaron Rachlin and I'm from Borderland Wind here 20 21 in California. And I just wanted to make some very 22 brief comments expressing my thanks and support for 23 the overall premise of using our Outer Continental Shelf waters to develop alternative energies and 24

promote them.

1 we know and as Maurice mentioned, 2 energy diversity and the use of renewable resources is 3 an idea whose time has come. So it's good that we're 4 moving in that direction. And I just wanted to echo the thoughts of Richard about careful site selection and mitigation 6 7 measures that certainly should be addressed in all types of development offshore; and the best use, if 8 9 any, of the existing platforms that are out there. 10 I just wanted to echo those thoughts. And keep up the 11 good work. Thank you very much. 12 Thanks, Aaron. MR. HILL: 13 MR. MOORE: Thank you. 14 We have one more person who has signed up 15 to speak, Kate Wing. Thank you very much. 16 MS. WING: is Kate Wing. I'm with the Natural Resources Defense 17 18 Council based here in San Francisco. At least that's 19 where I am and I work specifically on the Oceans 20 Program, but as a large -- as an environmental 21 organization with a large array of issues under our 22 purview, we look at everything from global warming to ocean conservation and animal and wildlife issues in 23 24 between. 25 We're interested in pretty much every

aspect of this Programmatic EIS, so we will certainly be submitting more detailed comments to you after we've had a chance to give it a more thorough review. And we did submit scoping comments to you in the earlier part of the process. And we appreciate your openness.

And I would also take this opportunity to compliment you on the website, which I think is a pretty marvelously-impressive website for a government agency doing a PEIS. It's something that even undergraduate students can find their way around, as they have commented on. So congrats to you guys for being able to make a useful website as a government agency.

In particular, our concern about this Programmatic EIS and what we hope it will do is provide a framework for looking at cumulative impacts not only for alternative energy and for some of these alternative uses, but provide the beginnings of a regulatory framework for looking at cumulative impacts for a variety of possible upcoming uses on the Outer Continental Shelf.

As you mentioned, there are these possibilities of converting these outdated rigs to aquaculture farms, as my previous friends at the Ocean

Conservancy and Defenders of Wildlife have commented on, but there's also the possibility of there being offshore fish farming in other locations. And until we have a comprehensive national policy in place, this PEIS will be sort of the de facto rule on citing those uses.

And I think until we have a national policy about how we are going to govern our oceans from 3 to 200 miles, this PEIS is really going to be the first step into the fray about how we stick things in that area of our oceans, be they for energy or for the production of food or for whatever other uses may be coming our way.

So we're particularly interested in the ability of this PEIS to serve as a framework to look at cumulative impacts in federal waters as well as in state waters, too. The ability of this permit process to be consistent with state coastal plans, with other state coastal management regulations.

And particularly when you look at those maps of where are the ideal areas to place wave and alternative energy areas, once you look at a commercial-scale operation for wave- and tidal-energy, you're often looking at an area that would span the 100-foot -- you know, the 100-fathom line. You're

1 looking at areas that are then going to span federal 2 and state waters at the same time, because that's just 3 where that depth range happens to fall. 4 inconveniently, it seems to fall right on the threemile line for a lot of the West Coast. 5 So it is important that there is a very 6 7 significant amount of coordination between the federal and state agencies, and also between MMS and FERC as 8 9 these processes go forward. And that's certainly going to be one of the main things that we're looking 10 11 to see in the PEIS, is exactly how that's going to go 12 how those agencies are going to forward, 13 together, and how there's going to be a transparent 14 process that the public can participate in. 15 Thank you. Thank you, Kate. 16 MR. HILL: 17 And I should say thanks for the website 18 should also go to Argonne National Lab for putting 19 that together. 20 Thank you very much. MR. MOORE: 21 Do we have anyone else who would like to 22 speak at this time? Wonderful opportunity. Got all this A/V 23 24 equipment, court reporter. What a deal. 25 If you would prefer to submit your Okay.

1 comments later in writing, we would appreciate 2 receiving them. We certainly appreciate the comments They're very much on 3 we received here tonight. 4 target. They're very helpful, very useful, and we're glad to have them. And it looks like we have to get 5 the owner of this computer up here to unlock it. 6 7 Right on schedule. The session is over. 8 MR. HILL: Yes. And on behalf of Minerals 9 Management Service I would really like to thank 10 11 everybody for coming tonight. And I appreciate your comments. And the MMS people will be here for a while 12 if you would like to talk to us or have any questions. 13 14 (The meeting was adjourned at 7:53 p.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24